

Women Empowerment and Caste System: A Sociological Study

Dr. Pinky Somkuwar

Author Affiliation:

Asst. Professor (Sociology), Government Adarsh College, Umaria (M.P.).

Citation of Article: Somkuwar, P. (2024). Women Empowerment and Caste System: A Sociological Study International Journal of Classified Research Techniques & Advances (IJCRTA) ISSN: 2583-1801, 4(4), pg. 97-100. ijcrt.org

Abstract:

This study investigates the intricate relationship between women empowerment and the caste system in India from a sociological perspective. Focusing on education, employment, and social autonomy, the research explores how caste hierarchies shape women's access to resources and agency. Utilizing secondary data from national surveys and sociological frameworks such as feminist theory and structural-functionalism, the paper argues that the caste system perpetuates gender inequalities, disproportionately affecting women from lower castes like Scheduled Castes (SC) and Scheduled Tribes (ST). The intersection of caste and gender results in compounded disadvantages, limiting empowerment efforts despite policy interventions. The study calls for caste-sensitive approaches to gender equity and further research into structural barriers.

Keywords: Women empowerment, caste system, gender inequality, social stratification, intersectionality, sociological analysis. Etc.

1. Introduction:

The caste system, a deeply entrenched social hierarchy in India, governs access to power, privilege, and opportunities. Women, who form a significant portion of India's population, experience this system in ways that intersect with gender-based oppression, creating unique challenges to their empowerment. Women empowerment—understood as the enhancement of women's agency, access to resources, and decision-making capacity—has been a focal point of India's social and economic policies since independence. However, caste-based discrimination complicates these efforts, particularly for women from marginalized communities such as Dalits and Other Backward Classes (OBCs).

This research paper examines how the caste system influences women empowerment, addressing key questions: How does caste determine women's access to education, employment, and autonomy? What structural mechanisms reinforce caste-gender inequalities? And how can sociological theories illuminate these dynamics? The study aims to deepen the understanding of caste and gender intersectionality and propose strategies for equitable empowerment.

2. Literature Review:

Scholarly discourse on caste and gender offers diverse perspectives. Ambedkar (1936) viewed the caste system as inherently oppressive to women, arguing that its enforcement of endogamy restricts their freedom and reinforces patriarchal control. Rege (2006) introduced "Dalit feminism," highlighting that Dalit women endure a dual burden of caste and gender discrimination, distinct from the experiences of upper-caste women.

Chakravarti (1993) contends that caste perpetuates patriarchy by assigning women the role of maintaining caste purity, thus curtailing their agency. Sen (2001) offers a more optimistic view, suggesting that education and economic growth can erode caste and gender barriers, though benefits accrue unevenly. Dube (1988) notes that caste dictates women's labor roles, with lower-caste women relegated to undervalued, manual work, while upper-caste women often access higher-status occupations.

Empirical studies reinforce these arguments. Kannabiran and Kannabiran (1991) document systemic violence and exclusion faced by Dalit women, often overlooked by mainstream empowerment programs. Beteille (1996) observes that upper-caste women, despite patriarchal constraints, benefit from caste privileges that enhance their social and economic opportunities. This literature underscores the need for an intersectional approach to studying women empowerment within the caste framework.

3. Methodology:

This study relies on secondary data analysis and theoretical frameworks:

1. **Secondary Data:** Data were sourced from the National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5, 2019-21), Census of India (2011), Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS, 2020-21), and reports by the National Commission for Women and the Ministry of Social Justice and Empowerment. These sources provide quantitative insights into caste-based disparities in education, employment, and health among women.
2. **Theoretical Framework:** Feminist theory is used to analyze gender oppression, structural-functionalism to examine how caste maintains social order, and intersectionality to explore the overlapping effects of caste and gender.

The analysis involved statistical comparisons across caste groups (e.g., Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes, and upper castes) and thematic synthesis of qualitative insights from existing literature. The study spans from 1947 (post-independence) to 2025, capturing long-term trends and contemporary patterns.

4. Analysis:

4.1. Education and Caste-Based Disparities:

Education is foundational to empowerment, yet caste significantly shapes access. According to NFHS-5 (2019-21), the literacy rate among Scheduled Caste (SC) women is 56.5%, compared to 80.3% for upper-caste women. Among Scheduled Tribe (ST) women, it is even lower at 51.2%. These gaps stem from structural factors such as poverty, inadequate school infrastructure in rural areas dominated by lower castes, and cultural norms prioritizing boys' education. The Census of India (2011) further reveals that only 9% of SC women complete secondary education, compared to 25% of upper-caste women. This disparity limits lower-caste women's ability to acquire skills and achieve economic independence, reinforcing their subordination within both caste and gender hierarchies.

4.2. Employment and Economic Agency:

Caste influences the nature and quality of women's participation in the workforce. The PLFS (2020-21) indicates that the labor force participation rate for SC women is 22%, slightly above the national average of 19%, but this reflects economic necessity rather than empowerment.

SC and ST women are disproportionately employed in low-paying, informal sectors such as agriculture (45% of SC women workers) and domestic work, with limited access to benefits or job security. In contrast, upper-caste women are more represented in formal sectors like education and services (28% of upper-caste women workers).

Wage disparities exacerbate this divide. The International Labour Organization (ILO, 2020) reports a gender pay gap of 34% in India, with lower-caste women earning even less than their upper-caste counterparts due to occupational segregation. Thus, caste restricts economic agency for lower-caste women, confining them to exploitative labor markets.

4.3. Social Autonomy and Caste Norms:

Social autonomy-encompassing decisions about marriage, mobility, and reproduction—is heavily constrained by caste. Upper-caste women, while subject to patriarchal norms, often enjoy greater intra-household influence due to their caste status. Lower-caste women, however, face stricter controls to enforce caste boundaries, such as endogamy and purity norms.

NFHS-5 data shows that 48% of SC women marry before age 18, compared to 22% of upper-caste women, reflecting caste-driven pressures for early marriage. Similarly, 41% of SC women report spousal violence (NCRB, 2020), a higher rate than the 26% among upper-caste women, indicating how caste compounds gender-based vulnerability. These statistics highlight how caste norms undermine lower-caste women's autonomy, perpetuating their marginalization.

4.4. Intersectionality and Structural Barriers:

Intersectionality reveals how caste and gender intersect to produce compounded disadvantages. Lower-caste women face exclusion from education, economic opportunities, and social power not only as women but also as members of stigmatized caste groups. For instance, NFHS-5 indicates that 52% of SC women live below the poverty line, compared to 18% of upper-caste women, amplifying their dependence on exploitative systems.

Policies like reservations and the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, aim to address caste discrimination, but their impact on women remains limited due to poor implementation and lack of gender-specific focus. The caste system, as a structural mechanism, thus sustains inequalities that hinder empowerment efforts.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations:

This study underscores that the caste system remains a significant impediment to women empowerment in India. Upper-caste women benefit from caste privileges that enhance their access to education, employment, and autonomy, while lower-caste women—particularly from SC and ST communities—face systemic barriers that perpetuate their subordination. Sociologically, the caste system functions to maintain social stratification, but it does so by reinforcing gender inequities, creating a dual burden for lower-caste women.

Recommendations:

1. Develop targeted empowerment programs for lower-caste women, focusing on education scholarships and vocational training.
2. Enhance enforcement of anti-discrimination laws and introduce gender-specific provisions within caste-based policies.
3. Launch community-level initiatives to challenge caste and gender norms, promoting social inclusion.

4. Encourage quantitative and qualitative research into caste-gender dynamics across diverse regions.

Future studies should examine how globalization and urbanization influence these patterns, offering a broader perspective on empowerment in a changing India.

References:

1. Ambedkar, B. R. (1936). *Annihilation of Caste*. Mumbai: People's Education Society.
2. Beteille, A. (1996). *Caste, Class, and Power: Changing Patterns of Stratification in a Tanjore Village*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.
3. Chakravarti, U. (1993). "Conceptualising Brahmanical Patriarchy in Early India: Gender, Caste, Class and State." *Economic and Political Weekly*, 28(14), 579-585.
4. Dube, L. (1988). "On the Construction of Gender: Hindu Girls in Patrilineal India." *Economic and Political Weekly*, 23(18), WS11-WS19.
5. International Labour Organization (ILO). (2020). *Global Wage Report*. Geneva: ILO.
6. Kannabiran, V., & Kannabiran, K. (1991). "Caste and Gender: Understanding Dynamics of Power and Violence." *Economic and Political Weekly*, 26(37), 2130-2133.
7. National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5). (2019-21). *India Report*. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.
8. Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS). (2020-21). *Annual Report*. New Delhi: Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation.
9. Rege, S. (2006). *Writing Caste/Writing Gender: Narrating Dalit Women's Testimonios*. New Delhi: Zubaan.
10. Sen, A. (2001). *Development as Freedom*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

IJCRTA